UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/618,649	07/15/2003	Kazuyuki Seki	240347US2	5261	
22850 7590 04/01/2008 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER		
			YODER III, CHRISS S		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2622		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/01/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/618,649	SEKI, KAZUYUKI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
CHRISS S. YODER III	2622		

	CHRISS S. YODER III	2622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	_
THE REPLY FILED 29 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this rit, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(the content of the period for reply expires to the second of the second of the period for reply expires the second of the seco	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH ').	ng date of the final rejection. E FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWC	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orion than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ginally set in the final Office action; or (2) as	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the AMENIAN APPLICATION. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a	а
AMENDMENTS			
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed).	nsideration and/or search (see NC		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying the issues for	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		,	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an explanation of	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5 and 10-16</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	harfana an an tha data of filing a N	lation of America will mat be entered	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails to provide a see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after ϵ	entry is below or attached.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowance because:	
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Tuan V Ho/ Primary Examiner, Art l	Jnit 2622	

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Limitations from independent claims 2 & 4, as well as limitations from claim 3, which was considered to depend from claim 2, have been added to independent claim 1. As such, these limitations, although they have been examined individually, have yet to be examined in combination. Therefore, the newly amended claim 1 would require further consideration and/or search.

Previously independent claim 5, and claim 16, which depends from claim 5 have been amended to depend from claim 1. As such, these limitations have yet to be examined as being dependent from claim 1. Therefore, the newly amended claim 5 and claim 16 would require further consideration and/or search.

Additionally, claims 11 & 12 have been amended to depend from claim 1. As such, these limitations have yet to be examined as being dependent from claim 1. Therefore, the newly amended claims 11 & 12 would require further consideration and/or search..